

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
2000 Biennial Regulatory Review --)	CC Docket No. 00-199
Comprehensive Review of the)	
Accounting Requirements and)	
ARMIS Reporting Requirements for)	
Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers:)	
Phase 2 and Phase 3)	

REPLY COMMENTS OF SPRINT CORPORATION

Sprint Corporation ("Sprint"), on behalf of its local and long distance divisions, submits these Reply Comments to the comments filed on July 16, 2001, in Phase 2 of the Comprehensive Review of the Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers ("ILECs"), pursuant to the Public Notice issued by the Commission in DA 01-1403.¹

Sprint agrees with the comments that the Commission should not add an Account 6551 for interconnection expenses.² As stated in these comments, and in Sprint's comments in the original Phase 2 proceeding,³ it would be extremely burdensome to identify interconnection expenses and allocate them into this account. The benefit of identifying actual interconnection expenses could not possibly approach the burdens. The benefits are especially questionable given the fact that the process for pricing interconnection-related

¹ Comments were originally filed in response to the *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM")* in the above referenced docket, released on October 18, 2000, as FCC 00-364.

² BellSouth Comments at 2; SBC Comments at 2-3; USTA Comments at 6-9; Verizon Comments at 3-4.

³ Sprint Comments at 9 (December 21, 2000).

expenses is based on forward-looking costs, while Part 32 accounts reflect actual embedded costs.

Sprint's comments opposed the elimination of Account 5084, State access revenues.⁴ Eliminating Account 5084 would result in intrastate access revenues being combined with interstate end user, switched and special access revenues. There is no meaningful advantage in this change. Nor is there evidence of a regulatory benefit in subdividing state access revenues. However, since carriers today track access revenues by jurisdiction, there are costs to revise computer systems to handle a new method for assigning intrastate access revenues. Further, as noted by WorldCom, the Commission must revise Rule 36.213 and the ARMIS 43-04 Report to provide for reporting of intrastate access revenues in the current interstate accounts.⁵ In sum, the costs of eliminating Account 5084 are not worth the benefits.

The Public Service Commission of Wisconsin requests that numerous plant accounts be further divided into loop and interoffice transport subaccounts. Apportioning investment between loop plant and transport is a separations function, covered by Part 36 of the Commission's rules, and is not properly an accounting function under Part 32. Thus, there is no need to perform this function within Part 32 accounts when it is already performed under Part 36 separations. Further, the Commission's recent order freezing the Part 36 category relationships for price cap carriers stabilizes these investments and reduces the significance of analyzing loop and transport allocations.⁶

⁴ Sprint Comments at 3.

⁵ WorldCom Comments at 1-2.

⁶ See *In the Matter of Jurisdictional Separations Reform and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board*, CC Docket No. 80-286, Report and Order, FCC 01-162 (rel. May 22, 2001).

Respectfully submitted,

SPRINT CORPORATION

By //s//

Jay C. Keithley
401 9th Street, NW, #400
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 585-1920

Rick Zucker
6360 Sprint Parkway, KSOPHE0302
Overland Park, KS 66251
(913) 762-1920

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Joyce Walker, hereby certify that I have on this 26th day of July 2001, served via U.S. First Class Mail, postage prepaid, or Hand Delivery, a copy of the foregoing “Reply Comments of Sprint Corporation” In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review – Comprehensive Review of the Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers: Phase 2 and Phase 3, CC Docket No. 00-199, filed this date with the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, to the persons on the attached service list.

_____/s/_____
Joyce Walker

Michael J. Ettner
Senior Assistant General Counsel for
General Services Administration
1800 F Street N.W., Room 4002
Washington, DC 20405

Christopher A McLean
Rural Utilities Services
1400 Independence Avenue SW
Mail stop: 1510
Washington, D.C. 20250

Stephen L. Earnest
Richard M. Sbaratta
Stephen L. Earnest
BellSouth Corporation
675 West Peachtree Street
Atlanta, GA 30375

Laurence G. Malone
General Counsel
Public Service Commission of the State of New York
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223-1350

Joseph DiBella
Verizon Communications
1320 North Court House Road
Eighth Floor
Arlington, VA 22201

Ron Eachus
Roger Hamilton
Joan H. Smith
Oregon Public Utility Commission
550 Capitol Street, N.E Suite 215
Salem, OR 97310-2551

Lawrence E. Sarjeant
Linda L. Kent
USTA
1401 H Street NW., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005

John W. Hunter
Julie E. Rones
USTA
1401 H Street NW., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005

Alan Buzacott
WorldCom Corporation
1801 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Ave M Bie, Chairperson
Public Service Commission of Wisconsin
610 North Whitney Way
PO Box 7854
Madison, WI 53707-7854

Joel Ader
Telecordia Technologies
710 L'Enfant Plaza S.W.,
Promenade Level, East Building
Washington, D.C. 20024

Juanita Harris
Roger K. Toppins
Paul K. Mancini
SBC Communications
1401 I Street NW., 11th Floor
Washington, DC 20005

**Robert S. Tongren
David C. Bergmann
Ohio Consumer Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-3485**